

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/508,835 06/15/2005		Pedro Teixidor Casanovas	4760-001	8091	
22429	22429 7590 10/10/2006		EXAMINER		
LOWE HAUPTMAN BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD			ELKINS, GARY E		
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3782		

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MT	

		ill.		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/508,835	TEIXIDOR CASANOVAS, PEDRO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Gary E. Elkins	3727		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Application Papers	·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		And An Invalled Properties		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 September 2006</u> is.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-192.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ion No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	• •			
application from the International Burea		sa in and National Olage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ed.		
and a state of the	The second deployment to deliver	· 		
Attachment(s)				

U.S.	Pat	ent a	nd 1	Trade	emark	Office
PT	OL	-32€	3 (F	Rev.	. 08-	06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040923.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: _____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.84(h)(5) because Figure 1 show(s) modified forms of construction in the same view. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not

Art Unit: 3727

accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the last sentence of the abstract is grammatically unclear. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 1-3, "with the possibility" and "with the option of being formed" are each narrative and unclear with respect to what is being claimed.

The following are each unclear with respect to the previous element(s) being referred to: claim 1, lines 3, 5, 7, 8, 19 and 20, "their assembly", "these", "they", "these end extensions", "this" and "these flanges".

The following each lack antecedent basis in the claims, i.e. each is only inferentially set forth: claim 1, lines 5, 8, 13, 14, 17 and 19-22, "the respective bottom, longer sides and fronts", "these end extensions of the fronts", "the dihedron formed by the first sector and the actual front", "the actual front", "their upper edge flanges", "the other", "the projections", "the recesses" and "the same sectors".

In claim 1, lines 10-16 are unclear since these lines appear to be introducing six different sectors and the specification and drawings appear to only define five (9-13 as shown in fig. 3 of the drawings). The claims must clearly define which sectors are being referred to.

In claim 1, line 12, "the front" is unclear since a plurality of fronts were previously defined in the claim.

In claim 1, line 14, "the actual front" is unclear in meaning, i.e. "actual" as opposed to one which is not "actual"?

In claim 1, lines 15 and 16, "the diagonal sector" and "said diagonal sector" are unclear since two diagonal sectors appear to have been previously defined in lines 11 and 13.

In claim 1, line 16, "said diagonal sector en diagonal" is unclear in meaning.

In claim 1, line 17, "for both sector and sectors" is unclear in meaning and with respect to which sectors are referred to.

The last six lines of claim 1 are unclear grammatically. Also, the elements in these lines lack clear orientation with respect to the other elements of the box and themselves.

In the last line of each of claims 1 and 2, the claims end with the term "and", i.e. "and" what?

In claim 2, line 2, "the front fitted with a horizontal upper bridge" is unclear since, as disclosed, the upper bridge appears to be formed from the same piece of material as the front, i.e. how is the upper bridge "fitted" with the front?

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1 and 2, as best understood, would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

The cited prior art is illustrative of the general state of the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/508,835

Art Unit: 3727

Page 5

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is

encouraging FAXing of responses in Office Actions to (571)273-8300. This practice may be

used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a

fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the Examiner

and art unit at the top of your cover sheet.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained form the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. Also, copies of an office action or other file

information may be obtained from the Private PAIR system. For more information about the

PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions regarding access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the

Examiner should be directed to Gary Elkins at telephone number (571)272-4537. The Examiner

can normally be reached Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Nathan Newhouse can be reached at (571)272-4544.

Jam & Elhim Gary E. Elkins Primary Examiner

01 October 2006